1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICHOLAS TSUKAMAKI		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253959		
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1188		
.6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Nicholas.Tsukamaki@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
. 9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2013 - 916		
12	MONICA LOUISE SALAZAR		
13	5678 Hansen Drive Pleasanton, CA 94566 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Registered Nurse License No. 470460		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18			
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),		
21			
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about August 31, 1991, the Board issued Registered Nurse License Number		
24	470460 to Monica Louise Salazar (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force		
25	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31,		
	2014, unless renewed.		
26	///		
27	111		
28			
ı	d · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
 - 7. Section 2761 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- "The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:
 - "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.
 - 8. Section 2762 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- "In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:
- "(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or

administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442 states:

"As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'gross negligence' includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life."

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443 states:

"As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5."

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443.5 states:

"A registered nurse shall be considered to be competent when he/she consistently demonstrates the ability to transfer scientific knowledge from social, biological and physical sciences in applying the nursing process, as follows:

- "(1) Formulates a nursing diagnosis through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, and through interpretation of information obtained from the client and others, including the health team.
- "(2) Formulates a care plan, in collaboration with the client, which ensures that direct and indirect nursing care services provide for the client's safety, comfort, hygiene, and protection, and for disease prevention and restorative measures.
- "(3) Performs skills essential to the kind of nursing action to be taken, explains the health treatment to the client and family and teaches the client and family how to care for the client's health needs.

- "(4) Delegates tasks to subordinates based on the legal scopes of practice of the subordinates and on the preparation and capability needed in the tasks to be delegated, and effectively supervises nursing care being given by subordinates.
- "(5) Evaluates the effectiveness of the care plan through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, signs and symptoms of illness, and reactions to treatment and through communication with the client and health team members, and modifies the plan as needed.
- "(6) Acts as the client's advocate, as circumstances require, by initiating action to improve health care or to change decisions or activities which are against the interests or wishes of the client, and by giving the client the opportunity to make informed decisions about health care before it is provided."

COSTS

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 13. In or around 2011, Respondent was employed as a registered nurse in the out-patient cancer center at Alta Bates Summit Medical Center in Berkeley, California.
- 14. Dilaudid® is a brand of hydromorphone hydrochloride, a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b) and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022, used for pain relief.
- 15. In or around 2011, Respondent offered to assist VA¹, a fellow nurse, dispose of two empty vials of Dilaudid. Respondent pretended to dispose of the vials in a bin. She then placed the vials in her pocket.

¹ Initials are used herein to protect the nurse's privacy. The nurse's identity will be provided pursuant to a proper discovery request.

レフ

Patient LL

- 16. On or about April 8, 2011, Respondent provided care for Patient LL. At 4:02 p.m. on April 8, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient LL. At 4:02 p.m., Respondent wasted 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient LL. Respondent documented in Patient LL's Medication Administration Record that she administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient LL at 4:05 p.m. Respondent failed, however, to document the administration of the Dilaudid at 4:05 p.m. in Patient LL's Progress Record. Respondent also failed to assess Patient LL's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 4:02 p.m. Finally, Respondent failed to assess the effect of the Dilaudid administered to Patient LL at 4:05 p.m.
- 17. At 5:32 p.m. on April 8, 2011, another nurse documented the following in Patient LL's Progress Record: "Report given to RN M. Salazar".
- 18. At 6:13 p.m. on April 8, 2011, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient LL. At 6:13 p.m., Respondent wasted 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient LL. At 6:30 p.m. on April 8, Respondent administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient LL. Respondent failed to assess Patient LL's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 6:13 p.m.

Patient MM

- 19. On or about April 27, 2011, Respondent provided care for Patient MM. At 2:57 p.m. on April 27, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient MM. At 3:15 p.m. on April 27, Respondent administered 2 milligrams of Dilaudid to Patient MM. Respondent failed to assess Patient MM's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 2:57 p.m.
- 20. At 6:28 p.m. on April 27, 2011, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient MM. At 6:35 p.m. on April 27, Respondent administered 2 milligrams of Dilaudid to Patient MM. Respondent failed to assess Patient MM's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 6:28 p.m.

Patient WT

21. On or about April 19, 2011, at approximately 3:00 p.m., a physician ordered 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient WT. Respondent signed the order for Dilaudid on April 19 at approximately 3:10 p.m.

- 22. On or about April 19, 2011, Respondent provided care for Patient WT. At 12:24 p.m. on April 19, Respondent withdrew 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient WT without a physician's order. At 12:45 p.m. on April 19, Respondent administered 4 milligrams of Dilaudid to Patient WT without a physician's order. Respondent failed to assess Patient WT's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 12:24 p.m.
- 23. At 3:57 p.m. on April 19, 2011, Respondent withdrew 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient WT. At 4:00 p.m. on April 19, Respondent administered 4 milligrams of Dilaudid to Patient WT. Respondent failed to assess Patient WT's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 3:57 p.m.
- 24. At 6:31 p.m. on April 19, 2011, Respondent withdrew 4 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient WT. At 7:02 p.m. on April 19, Respondent administered 4 milligrams of Dilaudid to Patient WT. Respondent failed to assess Patient WT's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 6:31 p.m.

Patient JP

- 25. On or about April 22, 2011, at approximately 3:50 p.m., a physician ordered 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient JP. The order indicated the following: "May repeat x1".
- 26. On or about April 22, 2011, Respondent provided care for Patient JP. At 3:50 p.m. on April 22, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient JP. At 3:51 p.m., Respondent wasted 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient JP. At 4:25 p.m. on April 22, Respondent administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient JP. Respondent failed to assess Patient JP's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 3:50 p.m.
- 27. On or about April 22, 2011, at approximately 4:35 p.m., another nurse, RP, withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient JP. At 4:35 p.m., RP wasted 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient JP. At 4:50 p.m. on April 22, RP administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient JP.
- 28. On or about April 22, 2011, at approximately 5:15 p.m., a nurse practitioner ordered 1 milligram of Dilaudid for Patient JP.
- 29. At 5:05 p.m. on April 22, 2011, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Patient JP without a valid order. At 5:06 p.m., Respondent wasted 1 milligram of Dilaudid for

Patient JP. At 5:45 p.m. on April 22, Respondent administered 1 milligram of Dilaudid to Patient JP. Respondent failed to assess Patient JP's pain before withdrawing the Dilaudid at 5:05 p.m.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1443 and 1443.5 for incompetence in that Respondent (1) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient LL and administered Dilaudid to Patient LL before receiving report on Patient LL; (2) failed to document the administration of Dilaudid to Patient LL in Patient LL's Progress Record; (3) failed to assess the effect of Dilaudid administered to Patient LL; (4) failed to assess several patients' pain before withdrawing Dilaudid for those patients; (5) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient WT and administered Dilaudid to Patient WT without a physician's order; and (6) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient JP without a valid order. The circumstances of Respondent's conduct are set forth above in Paragraphs 16 through 29.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442 for gross negligence in that Respondent (1) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient LL and administered Dilaudid to Patient LL before receiving report on Patient LL; (2) failed to document the administration of Dilaudid to Patient LL in Patient LL's Progress Record; (3) failed to assess the effect of Dilaudid administered to Patient LL; (4) failed to assess several patients' pain before withdrawing Dilaudid for those patients; (5) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient WT and administered Dilaudid to Patient WT without a physician's order; (6) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient JP without a valid order; and (7) placed two empty vials of Dilaudid in her pocket. The circumstances of Respondent's conduct are set forth above in Paragraphs 13 through 29.

1	2
1	3

16

1	7
1	8

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Illegal Possession of a Controlled Substance and Dangerous Drug)

32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2762, subdivision (a) of the Code in that Respondent (1) placed two empty vials of Dilaudid in her pocket; (2) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient WT without a physician's order; and (3) withdrew Dilaudid for Patient JP without a valid order. The circumstances of Respondent's conduct are set forth above in Paragraphs 13 through 15, 21, 22, 28, and 29.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

33. On or about October 5, 2009, while employed as a registered nurse at Alta Bates Summit Medical Center in Berkeley, California, Respondent was issued a Notice of Disciplinary Action. Under "Reason for Disciplinary Action", the Notice states the following: "You are being disciplined for job performance and for failing to follow policy for medication administration: You have been noted to: Operate outside of policy and procedure: Medicate patients without documenting assessment, delivery of drug and reassessment of effectiveness of intervention." Under "Plan of Corrective Action", the Notice states in pertinent part: "[Respondent] will properly administer and charge medications according to policy." Respondent signed the Notice on October 5, 2009.

///

| | ///

| ///

///

///

///

7.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 470460 issued to Monica Louise Salazar;
- 2. Ordering Monica Louise Salazar to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: APRIL 17, 2013

ŁOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2012204437